





# UNITED STATES ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENTS US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PROPRIETARY CHEMICALS STUDY, NOS. 75-51-0160-81, 75-51-0162-81 thru 75-51-0165-81, 75-51-0168-81 OCTOBER 1978 - APRIL 1981

EHH-12-1-81

1-FEHR-12-1-81

A

MARIELANA

A

A

A

A

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.

W-1:

038120

81 6 18 036 -

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM			
1. REPORT NUMBER 75-51-0160, 0162 2. GOVT AC	CCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER			
thru 0165, 0167, and 0168-81				
4. TITLE (and Subtitio) Topical Hazard Evaluation				
of Candidate Insect Repellents, US Departm Agriculture Proprietary Chemicals, Study N	ent of Final Oct 70 Apr 91			
75-51-0160-81, 75-51-0162-81 thru 75-51-01 75-51-0167-81, and 75-51-0168-81, Oct 78 -	65-81 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER			
7. AUTHOR(a)	B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4)			
MICHAEL J. TOPPER, CPT, VC JOHN G. HARVEY				
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS			
US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010	ANEX & WORK UNIT NUMBERS			
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE			
Commander	Oct 78 - Apr 81			
US Army Health Services Command	13. NUMBER OF PAGES			
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234  14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Control	Iling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)			
<u></u>	Unclassified			
	15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20,	II different Yrom Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
	·			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by AI3-37330a AI3-37346a				
	Skin irritation Cye irritation			
	Copical Hazard Evaluation Program			
170 27022	USDA Proprietary Chemicals			
AI3-37343a Guinea pig sensitization				
d. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by	olock number)			
Preliminary hazard evaluation of the above candidate insect repellent chemicals				
were performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits, and guinea pigs. Chemicals AI3-37330a, 37339a, and 37350a were noninjurious to the				
eyes of rabbits. Chemicals AI3-37332a, 37343a, 37346a, and 37349a caused mild				
injury to the cornea and, in addition, some injury to the conjunctiva. All of				
the chemicals did not cause skin or photoinnitation, and did not prove to be				
skin sensitizers. Chemicals AI3-37343a and 37349a were moderately toxic by ingestion. The remaining chemicals were relatively nontoxic by ingestion. It was recommended that all chemicals be approved for further testing as candidate				
was recommended that all chemicals be appro-	Latively nontoxic by ingestion. It			
DD   FORM 1473 EDITION OF T NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE	insect repetients.			
1 JAN 73 19/1 EUTTION UP I NOV 55 15 OBSOLETE	Unclassified			

Unclassified
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAPE (When Data Entered)



#### CPT Topper/lm/AUTOVON DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

11 JUN 1981

584-3627

SUBJECT: Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellents, US Department of Agriculture Proprietary Chemicals, Study Nos. 75-51-0160-81, 75-51-0162-81 thru 75-51-0165-81, 75-51-0167-81, and 75-51-0168-81, October 1978 - April 1981

**Executive Secretary** Armed Forces Pest Management Board Forest Glen Section, WRAMC Washington, DC 20012

A summary of the pertinent findings and recommendations of the inclosed report follows:

Preliminary hazard evaluations of the above candidate insect repellent chemicals were performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits, and guinea pigs. Chemicals AI3-37330a, 37339a, and 37350a were noninjurious to the eyes of rabbits. Chemicals AI3-37332a, 37343a, 37346a, and 37349a caused mild injury to the cornea and, in addition, some injury to the conjunctiva. All of the chemicals did not cause skin or photoirritation, and did not prove to be skin sensitizers. Chemicals AI3-37343a and 37349a were moderately toxic by ingestion. The remaining chemicals were relatively nontoxic by ingestion. It was recommended that all chemicals be approved for further testing as candidate insect repellents.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

1 Incl as (5 cy) JÖHN F. MAZUR MAJ, MSC

Director, Laboratory Services

CF: HQDA (DASG-PSP) Cdr, HSC (HSPA-P) Dir, Advisory Cen on Tox, NRC Comdt, AHS (HSA-IPM) USDA, ARS (Dr. Terrence McGovern) USDA, ARS-Southern Region (2 cy)



#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

REPLY TO

HSE-LT-T/WP

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENTS US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PROPRIETARY CHEMICALS STUDY NOS. 75-51-0160-81, 75-51-0162-81 thru 75-51-0165-81, 75-51-0167-81, and 75-51-0168-81 OCTOBER 1978 - APRIL 1981

#### 1. AUTHORITY.

- a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man Research Laboratory, Gainesville, Florida, 13 October 1978.
- b. Memorandum of Understanding between the US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency; the US Army Health Services Command; the Department of the Army, Office of The Surgeon General; the Armed Forces Pest Control Board; and the US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research, Science and Education Administration, titled, Coordination of Biological and Toxicological Testing of Pesticides, effective 23 January 1979.
- 2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Procedural Guide, US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA), 1972, revised 1976.
- 3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of candidate insect repellents: AI3-37330a, 37332a, 37339a, 37343a, 37346a, 37349a and 37350a.
- 4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. Hazard evaluations of the above-named candidate repellents were conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study, and Sprague-Dawley rats for determination of oral toxicity. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed by this Agency follows:\*t

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.

<sup>\*</sup> In conducting the studies described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Publication No. (NIH) 74-23, revised 1978.

t The experiments reported herein were performed in animal facilities fully accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

# TABLE. PRESENTATION OF DATA

Test	Results		Interpretation
SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES			
Rabbits			,
Single 24-hour appli- cation to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits.	All tested chedid not cause tation of the skin or of the surrounding a	any irri- intact e skin	USAEHA Category I (ref Appendix A)
0.5-mL technical grade chemical applied to each of six rabbits.			
EYE IRRITATION STUDIES			
Rabbits			
Single 24-hour appli- cation of 0.1-mL tech- nical grade chemical to one eye of each of six New Zealand White rabbits.	Chemicals AI3-37330a, 37339a, and 37350a did not cause any irritation to the eyes of rabbits.		USAEHA Category A (ref Appendix A)
	Chemicals AI3-37332a, 37343a, 37346a, and 37349a caused mild injury to the cornea and, in addition, some injury to the conjunctive		USAEHA Category C (ref Appendix A)
APPROXIMATE LETHAL DOSE (ALD)			
<u>Oral</u>	•	••	
Rats (male)-no diluent -	AI3-37332a 1 AI3-37339a 1 AI3-37346a 1	272 mg/Kg 270 mg/Kg 916 mg/Kg 900 mg/Kg 701 mg/Kg	These chemicals are relatively nontoxic by ingestion.
		851 mg/Kg 851 mg/Kg	These chemicals are moderately toxic by ingestion.

Test

Results

Interpretation

# PHOTOCHEMICAL SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES

## Rabbits

A single 0.05-mL application of a 25-percent (w/v) solution of each chemical and a 10 percent cause a photochemical (w/v) Oil of Bergamot solution (positive control) in 95-percent ethyl alcohol were applied to the intact skin of six rabbits. Five minutes after application, the rabbits were exposed to UV light (365 nm) for 30 minutes at a distance of 10-15 cm.

A 25-percent solution of each tested chemical in ethanol did not irritation reaction under test conditions.

All tested chemicals did not cause a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions and are not expected to cause a photochemical irritation in humans.

## Control

Following UV exposures of Positive control applithe rabbits, 0.05 mL of cation and irradiation test chemical, positive caused greater irritant control, and diluent were effects than in unirraapplied to additional skin areas to serve as unirradiated control sites. Application areas were checked for skin irritation at 24, 48, and 72 hours.

diated skin areas.

Test

Results

Interpretation

# SENSITIZATION STUDIES

# Guinea Pigs (Male)

Intradermal injections of 0.1 mL of a 0.1-percent solution (w/v) of the tested chemicals or of dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB)\* in a mixture containing 1 volume of propylene glycol and 29 volumes of saline.

Ten test guinea pigs for each chemical were given 10 sensitizing doses over a 3-week period. After 2 weeks rest, they were challenged with ID injections of each test chemical.

Ten positive control guinea pigs were sensitized over 3 weeks with DNCB. After 2 weeks' rest, they were challenged with ID injections of DNCB.

Challenge doses of the tested chemicals did not produce a sensitization reaction.

Challenge dose of DNCB in positive control guinea pigs produced a marked sensitization reaction in 10 out of 10 guinea pigs.

The tested chemicals did not produce sensitization reactions under test conditions and are not expected to produce sensitization reactions in man.

DNCB produced a marked reaction, indicating the guinea pigs respond to sensitizing agents.

<sup>\*</sup> A known skin sensitizer.

- 5. CONCLUSION. Technical grade chemicals AI3-37330a, 37339a, and 37350a did not cause any skin, eye, or photoirritation, no sensitization reaction, and did not prove to be an acute ingestion hazard. Technical grade chemicals AI3-37332a, 37343a, 37346a, and 37349a did not cause any skin or photoirritation, no sensitization reaction, and did not prove to be an acute ingestion hazard, but did cause mild injury to the cornea and, in addition, some injury to the conjunctiva.
- 6. RECOMMENDATION. Under the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding (paragraph 1b), it is recommended that the following USDA proprietary chemicals be approved for further testing as candidate insect repellents: AI3-37330a, 37332a, 37339a, 37343a, 37346a, 37349a, and 37350a.

MICHAEL J. FOPPER, DVM

CPT, VC

Laboratory Animal Veterinary Officer

Toxicology Division

JOHN G. HARVEY, JR.

Biological Laboratory Technician

Toxicology Division /

APPROVED:

ARTHUR H. McCREESH, Ph.D. Chief, Toxicology Division

#### APPENDIX A

# TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

<u>CATEGORY I</u> - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

<u>CATEGORY II</u> - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

CATEGORY IV - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation, and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals, prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce crimary irritation in animals.)

CATEGORY V - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the sample (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

## EYE CATEGORIES:

- A. Compounds noninjurious to the eye. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.
- B. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.
- C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- D. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.
- E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the connea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Faculd be gred with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.

#### APPENDIX B

#### ANALYTICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

The Analytical Quality Assurance Office certifies the following with regard to Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellents, US Department of Agriculture Proprietary Chemicals, Study Nos. 75-51-0160-81, 75-51-0162-81 thru 75-51-0165-81, 75-51-0167-81, and 75-51-0168-81, October 1978 - April 1981

- a. This study was conducted in accordance with:
- (1) Standing Operating Procedures developed by the Toxicology Division, USAEHA.
- (2) Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, 1980 rev, Part 58, Good Laboratory Practice for Nonclinical Laboratories Studies.
- b. Facilities were inspected during its operational phase to insure compliance with paragraph a.
- c. The information presented in this report accurately reflects the raw data generated during the course of conducting the study.

PAUL V. SNEERINGER, Ph.D. Chief, Analytical Quality Assurance Office

